



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,067	08/15/2001	Shlomo Ovadia	GIC-557.1	2241

20028 7590 08/27/2002

LAW OFFICE OF BARRY R LIPSITZ  
755 MAIN STREET  
MONROE, CT 06468

EXAMINER

DESIR, JEAN WICEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/930,067

Applicant(s)

OVADIA ET AL.

Examiner

Jean W. Désir

Art Unit

2614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jean W. Désir.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Barry R. Lipsitz (Applicants' representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 August 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pidgeon (5,850,305).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 16 in the propose amendment received 8/15/02, which will not be entered, was discussed. The Applicants' representative pointed out the difference between claim 16 and the prior art, the Examiner explained how claim 16 has been read over the prior art. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
JOHN MILLER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required